4/24/01

Dear Dave ,

Your draft #1 on Willis' reliability is magnificent!

If you have not sent it a few small suggestions, on the remote event Kurtz is ignorant enough to contest it. Have you thought of sending it as soon as you can and doing that with anything else you can? I think it would be a good idea for several reasons I do not now take time for. Mail just here and only anhour before my early supper.

On faking, you have limited yourself to the time of the autopsy. Some of the nutty claims is that it was much later, when the Secret Service had that and other film. I will be going into this in some detail when I get back to Fetzer. But, briefly, what the assassination ignoramuses who consider themselves experts, scholars or both are too uninformed about the realities to know is that the existing film defeats the purpose of any faking. Or, if it had been faked it would have been self-defeating beacuse <u>all</u> the film, Zapruder's, the autopsy photos and the X-rays- <u>as they now stand</u>- all prove the opposite of the official " "solution" because all refute them and all prove a conspiracy.

On what the Commission did not do and all the ignored FBI reports, there is always Katzenback memo esp. ~~the~~ paragraph numbered 1. which has never been included in a book.

It was past time to go after Kurtz and you could not have done it any better! Right tone, too. Bravo!

I think you should tell Kurtz that you intend to use Z202 and 338 and 8 among the photographs when you get around to listing them.

I have written you separately about the Oswald-Lovelady bit that I hope could make tonight's mail

I'm proud of you!

Harold

H